



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,593	10/15/2003	Louis Holder	Y2108-00123	1757
39290	7590	10/18/2007		
DUANE MORRIS LLP 1667 K. STREET, N.W. SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1608			EXAMINER JONES, PRENELL P	
			ART UNIT 2619	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/18/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/684,593

Applicant(s)

HOLDER, LOUIS

Examiner

Prenell P. Jones

Art Unit

2619

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Prenell P. Jones.

(3) _____

(2) Applicants Representative (Mr. Nicholas Kim).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10/12/07.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 9 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Borella et al (6,731,642), Hellwarth et al 4,935,956), Yan et al (PG PUB 20050018651), Harris et al (PG PUB 20030212795) and Gu et al (6,892,230).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Kim brought to Examiners attention concerns that the secondary prior art of Hellwarth was not analogous art with respect to Applicants claimed invention, and that all the limitation of claim 10 was not addressed. Furthermore, Mr. Kim indicated that office action was not clear as to equating each of the cited prior art disclosure elements with each of the relevant limitations that Applicant is claiming. Also, Mr. Kim brought to Examiners attention that there were typographical errors that were not corrected from previous office action..